

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/730,261	LAUDAMIEL-PELLET ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Krisanne Jastrzab	1744	

All Participants:

 (1) Krisanne Jastrzab

 (2) Atty. Brent Peebles
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 December 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner contacted Mr. Peebles to inform him that the amendment after final filed 12/2/2004 would not be entered as it raised new issues, thereby failing to simplify issues for appeal.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)